International Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Volume 2019, Number 5, Pages 1-8, 2019

eISSN 2456-6098

Algebraic properties of the path complexes of cycles

Seyed Mohammad Ajdani* and Francisco Bulnes[1]

*Department of Mathematics, Zanjan Branch, Islamic Azad University,

Zanjan, Iran.

E-mail:majdani2@yahoo.com

[1]Iinamei Director

Investigación internacional Avanzada en Matemáticas e Ingeniería

chalco, Mexico.

ABSTRACT.Let G be a simple graph and $\Delta_t(G)$ be a simplicial complex whose facets correspond to the paths of length t $(t \geq 2)$ in G. It is shown that $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is matroid, vertex decomposable, shellable and Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $n = t$ or $n = t+1$, where C_n is an n-cycle. As a consequence we show that if $n = t$ or $t+1$ then $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is partitionable and Stanley's conjecture holds for $K[\Delta_t(C_n)]$.

Introduction

Let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where K is a field. Fix an integer $n \geq t \geq 2$ and let G be a directed graph. A sequence $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_t}$ of distinct vertices is called a path of length t if there are $t-1$ distinct directed edges $e_1, \ldots, e_{t-1}$ where e_j is a directed edge from x_{i_j} to $x_{i_{j+1}}$. Then the path ideal of G of length t is the monomial ideal $I_t(G) = (x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_t} :$ $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_t}$ is a path of length t in G)
in the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. The distance $d(x, y)$ of two vertices x and y of a graph G is the length of the shortest path from x to y. The path complex $\Delta_t(G)$ is defined by

$$\Delta_t(G) = \langle \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_t}\} : x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_t} \text{ is a path of length t in G } \rangle.$$

* Corresponding Author.

Received January 01, 2019; revised March 22, 2019; accepted March 30, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13F20; 05E40; 13F55.

Key words and phrases: Vertex decomposable, simplicial complex, Matroid, path.

Path ideals of graphs were first introduced by Conca and De Negri [3] in the context of monomial ideals of linear type. Recently the path ideal of cycles has been extensively studied by several mathematicians. In [9], it is shown that $I_2(C_n)$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, if and only if, $n = 3$ or $n = 5$. Generalizing this result, in [13], it is proved that $I_t(C_n)$, $(t > 2)$, is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, if and only if $n = t$ or $n = t + 1$ or $n = 2t + 1$. Also, the Betti numbers of the ideal $I_t(C_n)$ and $I_t(L_n)$ is computed explicitly in [1]. In particular, it has been shown that:

Theorem 0.1 ([1, Corollary 5.15])**.** *Let n, t, p and d be integers such that $n \geq t \geq 2$, $n = (t+1)p + d$, where $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq d < (t+1)$. Then,*

(i) *The projective dimension of the path ideal of a graph cycle C_n or line L_n is given by,*

$$\operatorname{pd}(I_t(C_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p, & d \neq 0 \\ 2p-1, & d = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \operatorname{pd}(I_t(L_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p-1, & d \neq t \\ 2p, & d = t \end{cases}$$

(ii) *The regularity of the path ideal of a graph cycle C_n or line L_n is given by,*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_t(C_n)) = (t-1)p + d + 1$$

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_t(L_n)) = \begin{cases} p(t-1)+1, & d < t \\ p(t-1)+t, & d = t \end{cases}$$

In [8] it has been shown that, $\Delta_t(G)$ is a simplicial tree if G is a rooted tree and $t \geq 2$. One of interesting problems in combinatorial commutative algebra is the Stanley's conjectures. The Stanley's conjectures are studied by many researchers. Let R be a $\mathbb{N}^n$- graded ring and M a $\mathbb{Z}^n$- graded R- module. Then Stanley [10] conjectured that

$$\operatorname{depth}(M) \leq \operatorname{sdepth}(M)$$

He also conjectured in [11] that each Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex is partitionable. Herzog, Soleyman Jahan and Yassemi in [7] showed that the conjecture about partitionability is a special case of the Stanley's first conjecture. In this work, we study algebraic properties of $\Delta_t(C_n)$. In Section 1, we recall some definitions and results which will be needed later. In Section 2, for all $t > 2$ we show that the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is matroid;

(ii) $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable;

(iii) $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is shellable;

(iv) $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is Cohen-Macaulay;

(v) $n = t$ or $t + 1$.

(see Theorem 2.6).

In Section 3 as an application of our results we show that if $n = t$ or $t + 1$ then $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is partitionable and Stanley's conjecture holds for $K[\Delta_t(C_n)]$.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and results which will be needed later.

Definition 1.1. A *simplicial complex* Δ over a set of vertices $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, is a collection of subsets of V, with the property that:

(a) $\{x_i\} \in \Delta$, for all i;

(b) if $F \in \Delta$, then all subsets of F are also in Δ (including the empty set).

An element of Δ is called a *face* of Δ and complement of a face F is $V \setminus F$ and it is denoted by F^c. Also, the complement of the simplicial complex $\Delta = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_r \rangle$ is $\Delta^c = \langle F_1^c, \ldots, F_r^c \rangle$. The *dimension* of a face F of Δ, $\dim F$, is $|F| - 1$ where, $|F|$ is the number of elements of F and $\dim \varnothing = -1$. The faces of dimensions 0 and 1 are called *vertices* and *edges*, respectively. A *non-face* of Δ is a subset F of V with $F \notin \Delta$. we denote by $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$, the set of all minimal non-faces of Δ. The maximal faces of Δ under inclusion are called *facets* of Δ. The *dimension* of the simplicial complex Δ, $\dim \Delta$, is the maximum of dimensions of its facets. If all facets of Δ have the same dimension, then Δ is called *pure*.

Let $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F_1, \ldots, F_q\}$ be the facet set of Δ. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ determines Δ completely and we write $\Delta = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_q \rangle$. A simplicial complex with only one facet is called a *simplex*. A simplicial complex Γ is called a *subcomplex* of Δ, if $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$.

For $v \in V$, the subcomplex of Δ obtained by removing all faces $F \in \Delta$ with $v \in F$ is denoted by $\Delta \setminus v$. That is,

$$\Delta \setminus v = \langle F \in \Delta : \quad v \notin F \rangle.$$

The *link* of a face $F \in \Delta$, denoted by $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(F)$, is a simplicial complex on V with the faces, $G \in \Delta$ such that, $G \cap F = \varnothing$ and $G \cup F \in \Delta$. The link of a vertex $v \in V$ is simply denoted by $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(v)$.

$$\mathrm{link}_\Delta(v) = \big\{F \in \Delta : \qquad v \notin F, \quad F \cup \{v\} \in \Delta\big\}.$$

Let Δ be a simplicial complex over n vertices $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. For $F \subset \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, we set:

$$\mathbf{x}_F = \prod_{x_i \in F} x_i.$$

We define the *facet ideal* of Δ, denoted by $I(\Delta)$, to be the ideal of S generated by $\{\mathbf{x}_F : \quad F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\}$. The *non-face ideal* or the *Stanley-Reisner ideal* of Δ, denoted by I_Δ, is the ideal of S generated by square-free monomials $\{\mathbf{x}_F : \quad F \in \mathcal{N}(\Delta)\}$. Also we call $K[\Delta] := S/I_\Delta$ the *Stanley-Reisner ring* of Δ.

Definition 1.2. A simplicial complex Δ on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is said to be a matroid if, for any two facets F and G of Δ and any $x_i \in F$, there exists a $x_j \in G$ such that $(F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_j\}$ is a facet of Δ.

Definition 1.3. A simplicial complex Δ is recursively defined to be *vertex decomposable*, if it is either a simplex, or else has some vertex v so that,

(a) Both $\Delta \setminus v$ and $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(v)$ are vertex decomposable, and

(b) No face of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(v)$ is a facet of $\Delta \setminus v$.

A vertex v which satisfies in condition (b) is called a *shedding vertex*.

Definition 1.4. A simplicial complex Δ is *shellable*, if the facets of Δ can be ordered $F_1, \ldots, F_s$ such that, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq s$, there exists some $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$ and some $l \in \{1, \ldots, j-1\}$ with $F_j \setminus F_l = \{v\}$.

A simplicial complex Δ is called disconnected, if the vertex set V of Δ is a disjoint union $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that no face of Δ has vertices in both V_1 and V_2. Otherwise Δ is connected. It is well-known that

$$\text{matroid} \Longrightarrow \text{vertex decomposable} \Longrightarrow \text{shellable} \Longrightarrow \text{Cohen-Macaulay}$$

Definition 1.5. Given a simplicial complex Δ on V, we define $\Delta^\vee$, the *Alexander dual* of Δ, by

$$\Delta^\vee = \{V \setminus F: \quad F \notin \Delta\}.$$

It is known that for the complex Δ one has $I_{\Delta^\vee} = I(\Delta^c)$. Let $I \neq 0$ be a homogeneous ideal of S and $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of non-negative integers. For every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, one defines:

$$t_i^S(I) = \max\{j: \quad \beta_{i,j}^S(I) \neq 0\}$$

where $\beta_{i,j}^S(I)$ is the i,j-th graded Betti number of I as an S-module. The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* of I is given by:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) = \sup\{t_i^S(I) - i: \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

We say that the ideal I has a *d-linear resolution*, if I is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree d and $\beta_{i,j}^S(I) = 0$, for all $j \neq i + d$ and $i \geq 0$. For an ideal which has a d-linear resolution, the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity would be d. If I is a graded ideal of S, then we write (I_d) for the ideal generated by all homogeneous polynomials of degree d belonging to I.

Definition 1.6. A graded ideal I is componentwise linear if (I_d) has a linear resolution for all d.

Also, we write $I_{[d]}$ for the ideal generated by the squarefree monomials of degree d belonging to I.

Definition 1.7. A graded S-module M is called *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay* (over K), if there exists a finite filtration of graded S-modules,

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_r = M$$

such that each M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay, and the Krull dimensions of the quotients are increasing:

$$\dim(M_1/M_0) < \dim(M_2/M_1) < \cdots < \dim(M_r/M_{r-1}).$$

The Alexander dual, allows us to make a bridge between (sequentially) Cohen-Macaulay ideals and (componetwise) linear ideals.

Definition 1.8 (Alexander duality)**.** For a square-free monomial ideal $I = \big(M_1, \ldots, M_q\big) \subset S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, the *Alexander dual* of I, denoted by $I^\vee$, is defined to be:

$$I^\vee = P_{M_1} \cap \cdots \cap P_{M_q}$$

where, P_{M_i} is prime ideal generated by $\{x_j: \quad x_j | M_i\}$.

Theorem 1.9 ([6, Proposition 8.2.20], [4, Theorem 3]). *Let I be a square-free monomial ideal in $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.*

(i) *The ideal I is componentwise linear ideal if and only if $S/I^\vee$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

(ii) *The ideal I has a q-linear resolution if and only if $S/I^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $n-q$.*

Remark 1.10. Two special cases, we will be considering in this paper, are when G is a cycle C_n, or a line graph L_n on vertices $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with edges

$$E(C_n) = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \ldots, \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}, \{x_n, x_1\}\};$$
$$E(L_n) = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \ldots, \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}\}$$

2 Vertex decomposability path complexes of cycles

As the main result of this section, it is shown that $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is matroid, vertex decomposable, shellable and Cohen-Macaualay if and only if $n = t$ or $n = t+1$. For the proof we shall need the following lemmas and propositions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Delta_t(L_n)$ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $2 \leq t \leq n$. Then $\Delta_t(L_n)$ is vertex decomposable.*

Proof. If $t = n$, then $\Delta_n(L_n)$ is a simplex which is vertex decomposable. Let $2 \leq t < n$ then one has

$$\Delta_t(L_n) = \langle \{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}, \{x_2, \ldots, x_{t+1}\}, \ldots, \{x_{n-t+1}, \ldots, x_n\} \rangle.$$

So $\Delta_t(L_n) \setminus x_n = \langle \{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}, \{x_2, \ldots, x_{t+1}\}, \ldots, \{x_{n-t}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\} \rangle$. Now we use induction on the number of vertices of L_n and by induction hypothesis $\Delta_t(L_n) \setminus x_n$ is vertex decomposable. On the other hand, it is clear that $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta_t(L_n)}\{x_n\} = \langle \{x_{n-t+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\} \rangle$. Thus $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta_t(L_n)}\{x_n\}$ is a simplex which is not a facet of $\Delta_t(L_n) \setminus x_n$. Therefore $\Delta_t(L_n)$ is vertex decomposable. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Delta_2(C_n)$ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then $\Delta_2(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable.*

Proof. Since $\Delta_2(C_n) = \langle \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \ldots, \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}, \{x_n, x_1\} \rangle$ then we have

$$\Delta_2(C_n) \setminus x_n = \langle \{x_1, , x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \ldots, \{x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}\} \rangle.$$

By lemma 2.1 $\Delta_2(C_n) \setminus x_n$ is vertex decomposable. Also it is trivial that $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta_2(C_n)}\{x_n\} = \langle \{x_{n-1}\}, \{x_1\} \rangle$ is vertex decomposable and no face of $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta_2(C_n)}\{x_n\}$ is a facet of $\Delta_2(C_n) \setminus x_n$. Therefore $\Delta_2(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Delta_t(C_n)$ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $3 \leq t \leq n-2$. Then $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $I_{\Delta_t(C_n)^\vee}$ has not a linear resolution. Since $I_{\Delta_t(C_n)^\vee} = I(\Delta_t(C_n)^c)$ then one can easily check that $I_{\Delta_t(C_n)^\vee} = I_{n-t}(C_n)$. By Theorem 0.1 we have

$$\mathrm{reg}(I_{\Delta_t(C_n)^\vee}) = (n-t-1)p + d + 1.$$

Since $3 \leq t \leq n-2$ then one has $\mathrm{reg}(I_{\Delta_t(C_n)^\vee}) \neq n-t$ and by Theorem 1.9 $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Delta_t(C_n)$ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $t \geq 3$. Then $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable if and only if $n = t$ or $t + 1$.*

Proof. By lemma 2.3 it suffices to show that if $n = t$ or $t + 1$, then $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable. If $n = t$, then $\Delta_n(C_n)$ is a simplex which is vertex decomposable.
If $t = n - 1$, then we have

$$\Delta_{n-1}(C_n) = \langle \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}, \{x_2, \ldots, x_n\}, \{x_3, \ldots, x_n, x_1\}, \ldots, \{x_n, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}\} \rangle.$$

Now we use induction on the number of vertices of C_n and show that $\Delta_{n-1}(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable. It is clear that $\Delta_{n-1}(C_n) \setminus x_n = \langle \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\} \rangle$ is a simplex which is vertex decomposable.

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{link}_{\Delta_{n-1}(C_n)}\{x_n\} = \langle \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}\}, \ldots, \{x_{n-1}, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-3}\} \rangle = \Delta_{n-2}(C_{n-1}).$$

By induction hypothesis $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta_{n-1}(C_n)}\{x_n\}$ is vertex decomposable. It is easy to see that no face of $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta_{n-1}(C_n)}\{x_n\}$ is a facet of $\Delta_{n-1}(C_n) \setminus x_n$. Therefore $\Delta_{n-1}(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable. □

Proposition 2.5. *$\Delta_2(C_n)$ is a matroid if and only if $n = 3$ or 4.*

Proof. If $n = 3$ or 4, then it is easy to see that $\Delta_2(C_n)$ is a matroid. Now we prove the converse. It suffices to show that $\Delta_2(C_n)$ is not a matroid for all $n \geq 5$. We consider two facets $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and $\{x_{n-1}, x_n\}$. Then we have $(\{x_1, x_2\} \setminus \{x_1\}) \cup \{x_{n-1}\} = \{x_2, x_{n-1}\}$ and $(\{x_1, x_2\} \setminus \{x_1\}) \cup \{x_n\} = \{x_2, x_n\}$. Since $\{x_2, x_{n-1}\}$ and $\{x_2, x_n\}$ are not the facets of $\Delta_2(C_n)$. So $\Delta_2(C_n)$ is not matroid for all $n \geq 5$. □

For the simplicial complexes one has the following implication:

Matroid $\Rightarrow$ vertex decomposable $\Rightarrow$ shellable $\Rightarrow$ Cohen-Macaulay

Note that these implications are strict, but by the following theorem, for path complexes, the reverse implications are also valid.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $t \geq 3$. Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

(i) *$\Delta_t(C_n)$ is matroid;*

(ii) *$\Delta_t(C_n)$ is vertex decomposable;*

(iii) *$\Delta_t(C_n)$ is shellable;*

(iv) *$\Delta_t(C_n)$ is Cohen-Macaulay;*

(v) *$n = t$ or $t + 1$.*

Proof. (i)$\Longrightarrow$ (ii), (ii)$\Longrightarrow$ (iii) and (iii)$\Longrightarrow$ (iv) is well-known.
(iv)$\Longrightarrow$ (v): Follows from Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4.
(v)$\Longrightarrow$ (i): If $n = t$, then $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is a simplex which is a matroid.
If $n = t + 1$, then

$$\Delta_t(C_n) = \langle \{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}, \{x_2, \ldots, x_{t+1}\}, \{x_3, \ldots, x_{t+1}, x_1\}, \ldots, \{x_{t+1}, x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}\} \rangle.$$

For any two facets F and G of $\Delta_t(C_n)$ one has $\mid F \cap G \mid = t-1$. We claim that for any two facets F and G of $\Delta_t(C_n)$ and any $x_i \in F$, there exists a $x_j \in G$ such that $(F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_j\}$ is a facet of $\Delta_t(C_n)$. We have to consider two cases. If $x_i \in F$ and $x_i \notin G$, then we choose $x_j \in G$ such that $x_j \notin F$. Thus $(F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_j\} = G$ which is a facet of $\Delta_t(C_n)$.
For other case, if $x_i \in F$ and $x_i \in G$, then we choose $x_j \in G$ such that x_j is the same x_i. Therefore $(F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_i\} = F$ is a facet of $\Delta_t(C_n)$ which completes the proof. □

3 Stanley Decompositions

Let R be any standard graded K- algebra over an infinite field K, *i.e*, R is a finitely generated graded algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ such that $R_0 = K$ and R is generated by R_1. There are several characterizations of the depth of such an algebra. We use the one that depth(R) is the maximal length of a regular R- sequence consisting of linear forms. Let $x_F = \sqcap_{i \in F} x_i$ be a squarefree monomial for some $F \subseteq [n]$ and $Z \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. The K- subspace $x_F K[Z]$ of $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the subspace generated by monomials $x_F u$, where u is a monomial in the polynomial ring $K[Z]$. It is called a squarefree Stanley space if $\{x_i : i \in F\} \subseteq Z$. The dimension of this Stanley space is $|Z|$. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. A squarefree Stanley decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of $K[\Delta]$ is a finite direct sum $\bigoplus_i u_i K[Z_i]$ of squarefree Stanley spaces which is isomorphic as a $\mathbb{Z}^n$- graded K- vector space to $K[\Delta]$, *i.e.*

$$K[\Delta] \cong \bigoplus_i u_i K[Z_i]$$

. We denote by sdepth$(\mathcal{D})$ the minimal dimension of a Stanley space in $\mathcal{D}$ and we define sdepth$(K[\Delta]) = \max\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D})\}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a Stanley decomposition of $K[\Delta]$. Stanley conjectured in [10] the upper bound for the depth of $K[\Delta]$ as the following:

$$\text{depth}(K[\Delta]) \leq \text{sdepth}(K[\Delta])$$

. Also we recall another conjecture of Stanley. Let Δ be again a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with facets $G_1, \ldots, G_t$. The complex Δ is called partitionable if there exists a partition $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} [F_i, G_i]$ where $F_i \subseteq G_i$ are suitable faces of Δ. Here the interval $[F_i, G_i]$ is the set of faces $\{H \in \Delta : F_i \subseteq H \subseteq G_i\}$. In [11] and [12] respectively Stanley conjectured each Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex is partitionable. This conjecture is a special case of the previous conjecture. Indeed, Herzog, Soleyman Jahan and Yassemi [7] proved that for Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex Δ on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ we have that depth$(K[\Delta]) \leq$ sdepth$(K[\Delta])$ if and only if Δ is partitionable. Since each vertex decomposable simplicial complex is shellable and each shellable complex is partitionable. Then as a consequence of our results we obtain :

Corollary 3.1. *if $n = t$ or $t+1$ then $\Delta_t(C_n)$ is partitionable and Stanley's conjecture holds for $K[\Delta_t(C_n)]$.*

Acknowledgment. The authors are deeply grateful to the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

References

[1] A. Aliloee and S. Faridi, Betti numbers of the path ideals of cycles and lines, preprint (2011).

[2] A. Björner, *Topological methods*, Handbook of combinatorics, Vol. **1**, **2**, 1819-1872, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1995).

[3] A. Conca and E. De Negri, *M-sequences, graph ideals and ladder ideals of linear type*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), no. 2, 599-624.

[4] J. A. Eagon and V. Reiner, *Resolutions of Stanley-Reisner rings and Alexander duality*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 130 (1998), 265-275.

[5] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, in: GTM 260, Springer, London, (2010).

[6] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Componentwise linear ideals*, Nagoya Math. J. **153** (1999), 141-153.

[7] J. Herzog, A. Soleyman Jahan and S. Yassemi, *Stanley decompositions and partitionable simplicial complexes*, J. Alger. Comb. **27**(2008), 113-125

[8] J. He and A. Van Tuyl, *Algebraic properties of the path ideal of a tree*, Comm. Algebra. **38** (2010), 1725-1742.

[9] C. A. Francisco and A. Van Tuyl, *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (2007). no. **8**, 2327-2337.

[10] R. P. Stanley, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology.Invent. Math. **68**(1982), no 2, 175-193.

[11] R. P. Stanley, Combinatorics and commutative algebra. Second edition. Progress in Mathematics **41**, Birkhauser Boston 1996.

[12] R. p. Stanley, Positivity problems and conjectures in algebraic combinatorics. In Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives, 295-319, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2000.

[13] S. Saeedi Madani, D. Kiani and N. Terai, *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay path ideals of cycles*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome, **54** (102) no. 4, (2011), 353-363.

[14] E. Sampathkumar, P.S.K Reddy, M.S Subramanya, *The line n- sigraph of a Symmetric n- sigraph*, Southeast Asian Bull. Matt. **34** (5)(2010), 953-958.

[15] R. Woodroofe, Vertex decomposable graphs and obstructions to shellability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 3235-3246.