

Supra g^* -Closed Sets in Supra Bitopological Spaces

R.Gowri¹, A.K.R.Rajayal*

¹ Department of Mathematics, Government College for Women(A), Kumbakonam, India

² Department of Mathematics, Government College for Women(A), Kumbakonam, India

* E-Mail: rajayalk@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. The objective of this paper is to introduce a new class of sets $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed sets and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open sets in supra bitopological spaces and some properties of these sets are investigated. Also, two supra bitopological spaces $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -spaces are introduced.

1 Introduction

The study of bitopological spaces was initiated by Kelly[7]. Sheik John and Sundaram [14] introduced the concept of generalized star closed sets in bitopological spaces. The supra topological spaces have been introduced by Mashhour[10] in 1983. In topological space the arbitrary union condition is enough to have a supra topological space. Here every topological space is a supra topological space but the converse is not always true. A triplet $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ where X is a non empty set S_{τ_1} and S_{τ_2} are supra topologies on X is called a supra bitopological spaces by Gowri and Rajayal[2]. Ramkumar, Ravi and Joseph[12] introduced supra g^* -closed sets and we obtain some properties of supra g^* -closed sets. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed sets and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open sets in supra bitopological spaces. Also, we introduce $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -spaces.

*corresponding author

Received March 18, 2018; revised May 13, 2018; accepted May 26, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54D05, 54D10, 54D08, 54D20.

Key words and phrases: Supra bitopology, $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed sets, $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open sets, $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^*$ -space and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall the basic definitions of supra bitopological spaces.

Definition 2.1[10] (X, S_τ) is said to be a supra topological space if it is satisfying these conditions:

- (1) $X, \emptyset \in S_\tau$
- (2) The union of any number of sets in S_τ belongs to S_τ .

Definition 2.2[10] Each element $A \in S_\tau$ is called a supra open set in (X, S_τ) , and its compliment is called a supra closed set in (X, S_τ) .

Definition 2.3[10] If (X, S_τ) is a supra topological spaces, $A \subseteq X$, $A \neq \emptyset$, S_{τ_A} is the class of all intersection of A with each element in S_τ , then (A, S_{τ_A}) is called a supra subspace of (X, S_τ) .

Definition 2.4[10] The supra closure of the set A is denoted by $S_\tau\text{-cl}(A)$ and is defined as

$$S_\tau\text{-cl}(A) = \cap \{B : B \text{ is a supra closed and } A \subseteq B\}.$$

Definition 2.5[10] The supra interior of the set A is denoted by $S_\tau\text{-int}(A)$ and is defined as

$$S_\tau\text{-int}(A) = \cup \{B : B \text{ is a supra open and } B \subseteq A\}.$$

Definition 2.6[2] If S_{τ_1} and S_{τ_2} are two supra topologies on a non-empty set X , then the triplet $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is said to be a supra bitopological space.

Definition 2.7[2] Each element of S_{τ_i} is called a supra τ_i -open sets(briefly S_{τ_i} -open sets) in $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$. Then the complement of S_{τ_i} -open sets are called a supra τ_i -closed sets(briefly S_{τ_i} -closed sets), for $i = 1, 2$.

Definition 2.8[2] If $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is a supra bitopological space, $Y \subseteq X$, $Y \neq \emptyset$ then $(Y, S_{\tau_1^*}, S_{\tau_2^*})$ is a supra bitopological subspace of $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ if

$$S_{\tau_1^*} = \{U \cap Y; U \text{ is a } S_{\tau_1} \text{ - open in } X\} \text{ and}$$

$$S_{\tau_2^*} = \{V \cap Y; V \text{ is a } S_{\tau_2} \text{ - open in } X\}.$$

Definition 2.9[2] The S_{τ_i} -closure of the set A is denoted by $S_{\tau_i}\text{-cl}(A)$ and is defined as

$$S_{\tau_i}\text{-cl}(A) = \cap \{B : B \text{ is a } S_{\tau_i} \text{ - closed and } A \subseteq B, \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}.$$

Definition 2.10[2] The S_{τ_i} -interior of the set A is denoted by $S_{\tau_i}\text{-int}(A)$ and is defined as

$$S_{\tau_i}\text{-int}(A) = \cup \{B : B \text{ is a } S_{\tau_i} \text{ - open and } B \subseteq A, \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}.$$

Definition 2.11[13] Let (X, μ) be a supra topological space. A subset A of X is supra g -closed if $cl^\mu(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is supra open.

Definition 2.12[12] Let (X, μ) be a supra topological space. A subset A of X is supra g^* -closed if $cl^\mu(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is supra g -open.

3 Supra generalized star closed sets in supra bitopological spaces

In this section we introduce the concept of $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed sets in supra bitopological spaces.

Definition 3.1 A subset A of a supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is said to be supra τ_{ij} -generalized star-closed (briefly $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed) if $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U \in S_{\tau_{ij}}-g$ -open, where $ij = 1,2$ and $i \neq j$.

The complement of $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed set is said to be $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open.

The family of all $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed (resp. $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open) sets of $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is denoted by $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*C(X)$ (resp. $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*O(X)$), where $ij = 1,2$ and $i \neq j$.

Example 3.2

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_{12}}-g^*C(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

Definition 3.3 A subset A of supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is said to be pairwise $S_{\tau}-g^*$ -closed (briefly $p-S_{\tau}-g^*$ -closed) if A is $S_{\tau_{12}}-g^*$ -closed and $S_{\tau_{21}}-g^*$ -closed. The complement of a pairwise $S_{\tau}-g^*$ -closed set is said to be pairwise $S_{\tau}-g^*$ -open (briefly $p-S_{\tau}-g^*$ -open).

Remark 3.4 By setting $S_{\tau_1} = S_{\tau_2}$ in Definition 3.1, a $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed becomes $S_{\tau}-g^*$ -closed.

Proposition 3.5 If A is S_{τ_j} -closed subset of $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ then A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed.

Proof. It is obvious that every S_{τ_j} -closed set is $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed.

The converse of the above Proposition 3.5, is not true as seen from the following example. □

Example 3.6

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_{12}}-g^*C(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Here $\{c\}$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}-g^*$ -closed but it is not S_{τ_2} -closed.

Proposition 3.7 If A is both $S_{\tau_i}-g$ -open and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed, then A is S_{τ_j} -closed.

Proof. Suppose that A is $S_{\tau_i}-g$ -open and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed. Then $A \subseteq A$ implies that $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq A$. Obviously, $A \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A)$. Therefore A is S_{τ_j} -closed. □

Remark 3.8 Every $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed set is $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g$ -closed.

The converse of the above Remark 3.8, is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.9 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_{12}}-gC(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$,

$$S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}.$$

Then the subset $\{a, b\}$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g$ -closed but it is not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed set.

Remark 3.10 $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed sets and $S_{\tau_j}\text{-}g$ -closed sets are independent. The following example supports our claim.

Example 3.11 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\},$$

$$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\},$$

$$S_{\tau_2}\text{-}g\text{-closed set} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\},$$

$$S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{-closed set} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}.$$

Then the subset $\{a, b\}$ is $S_{\tau_2}\text{-}g$ -closed but not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed set. Also, the subset $\{a, c\}$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed set but not $S_{\tau_2}\text{-}g$ -closed.

Remark 3.12 The union of two $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed sets need not be $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed as seen from the following example.

Example 3.13 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\},$$

$$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\},$$

$$S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{-C}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}.$$

Here $\{b\}$ and $\{c\}$ are $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed sets but their union $\{b, c\}$ is not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed.

Remark 3.14 The intersection of two $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed sets need not be $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed as seen from the following example.

Example 3.15 In Example 3.9, the subsets $\{a, d\}$ and $\{a, b, c\}$ are $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed but their intersection $\{a\}$ is not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed.

Remark 3.16 $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X)$ is generally not equal to $S_{\tau_{21}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X)$ as can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.17 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\},$$

$$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\},$$

$$\text{Then } S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$$

$$\text{and } S_{\tau_{21}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}.$$

Thus $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X) \neq S_{\tau_{21}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X)$.

Proposition 3.18 Let S_{τ_1} and S_{τ_2} be supra topologies on X . If $S_{\tau_1} \subseteq S_{\tau_2}$, then $S_{\tau_{21}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X) \subseteq S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X)$.

Proof. Let A be $S_{\tau_{21}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X)$. Then $S_{\tau_1}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$, Whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $S_{\tau_2}\text{-}g$ -open. Since $S_{\tau_1} \subseteq S_{\tau_2}$, $S_{\tau_2}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$, Whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $S_{\tau_1}\text{-}g$ -open. Hence A is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X)$. \square

Proposition 3.19 Let A be a subset of supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$. If A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed, then $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ contains no non empty $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -closed set, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let A be $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed set and F be $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -closed set such that $F \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$. Since $A \in S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*\text{C}(X)$, we

have $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq F^c$. Thus $F \subseteq [S_{\tau_j} - \text{cl}(A)] \cap [S_{\tau_j} - \text{cl}(A)]^c = \emptyset$. Therefore, $F = \emptyset$. Hence, $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ contains no non empty S_{τ_i} -g-closed set. \square

Remark 3.20 The converse of the above Proposition 3.19, is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.21

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

If $A = \{c\}$, then $S_{\tau_2}\text{-cl}(A) - A = X - \{c\} = \{a, b\}$ which does not contain any non empty S_{τ_1} -g-closed set. But A is not $S_{\tau_{12}}$ -g*-closed.

Corollary 3.22 If A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed set in $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$, then A is S_{τ_j} -closed if and only if $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ is S_{τ_i} -g-closed.

Proof.

Necessity: If A is S_{τ_j} -g-closed, then $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) = A$. That is $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A = \emptyset$ and hence $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ is S_{τ_i} -g-closed.

Sufficiency:

If $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ is S_{τ_i} -g-closed, then by Proposition 3.19, $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A = \emptyset$, since A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed. Therefore A is S_{τ_j} -closed. \square

Proposition 3.23 If A is an $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed set of $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A)$, then B is also an $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed set of $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Suppose that A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed set and $A \subseteq B \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A)$. Let $B \subseteq U$ and U is S_{τ_i} -g-open. Given $A \subseteq B$. Then $A \subseteq U$. Since A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed, we have $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$. Since $B \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A)$, $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(B) \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$. Hence, B is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed set \square

Theorem 3.24 Let $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ and suppose that A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed in X . Then A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed relative to Y .

Proof. Let $A \subseteq Y \cap U$ and suppose that U is S_{τ_i} -g-open in X . Then $A \subseteq U$ and hence $S_{\tau_2}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$. It follows that $Y \cap S_{\tau_2}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq Y \cap U$. \square

Remark 3.25 Intersection of $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed set and S_{τ_i} -g-open set is neither $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed nor S_{τ_i} -g-open as can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.26

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

Then $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-g}^*\text{C}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and

$S_{\tau_1}\text{-g-open} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

We have $A = \{b, c\}$ is S_{τ_1} -g-open and $B = \{a, c\}$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}$ -g*-closed set, their intersection $\{c\}$ is neither $S_{\tau_{12}}$ -g*-closed set nor S_{τ_1} -g-open set. \square

Proposition 3.27 For each element $x \in (X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$, the singleton $\{x\}$ is S_{τ_i} -g-closed or $\{x\}^c$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ -g*-closed set.

Proof. Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not S_{τ_i} -g-closed. Then $\{x\}^c$ is not S_{τ_i} -g-open and X is the only S_{τ_i} -g-open set which

contains $\{x\}^c$ and $\{x\}^c$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed. \square

Theorem 3.28 A subset of supra bitopological space in $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open set if and only if $B \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A)$ whenever B is $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -closed and $B \subseteq A$ where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let A be $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open set. Let B be a $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -closed set such that $B \subseteq A$. Let $A \subseteq B$ and B is $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -closed. Then $(A)^c \subseteq B^c$ and $(B)^c$ is $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -open, We have A^c is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g$ -closed. Hence, $[S_{\tau_j} - cl(A)^c] \subseteq (B^c)$. Therefore $B \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A)$. Conversely, suppose $B \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A)$ whenever $B \subseteq A$ and B is $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -closed. Let $A^c \subseteq U$ and U is $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -open. Then $U^c \subseteq A$ and U^c is $S_{\tau_i}\text{-}g$ -closed. By hypothesis $U^c \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A)$. Hence, $[S_{\tau_j} - int(A)]^c \subseteq U$. That is $[S_{\tau_j} - cl(A)^c] \subseteq U$. Consequently, A^c is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed set. Hence, A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open. \square

Remark 3.29 Every S_{τ_1} -open set is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open but the converse is not true in general as can be seen from the following example.

Example 3.30

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{O}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Here $\{a, c\}$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -open but it is not S_{τ_1} -open.

Remark 3.31 The union of any two $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open set is not necessary $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open set as seen in the following example.

Example 3.32

In Example 3.13,

$S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{O}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

Here $\{b\}$ and $\{c\}$ are $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -open sets but their union $\{b\} \cup \{c\} = \{b, c\}$ is not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -open.

Remark 3.33 The intersection of any two $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open set is not necessary $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open set as in the following example.

Example 3.34

In Example 3.30,

$S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{O}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Here $\{b, c\}$ and $\{a, c\}$ are $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -open sets but their intersection $\{b, c\} \cap \{a, c\} = \{c\}$ is not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*$ -open.

Remark 3.35 The intersection of $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open set and S_{τ_j} -open set is not $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -open as seen from the following example.

Example 3.36

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}g^*\text{O}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

Here $A = \{b, c\}$ is S_{τ_2} -open and $B = \{a, c\}$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}$ - g^* -open but their intersection $\{a, c\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{c\}$ is not $S_{\tau_{12}}$ - g^* -open.

Theorem 3.37 Let A and B be subset of supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ such that $S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$. If A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -open, then B is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -open, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let A be $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -open. Let U be a S_{τ_i} - g -closed such that $U \subseteq B$. Since $U \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$, we have $U \subseteq A$. Therefore, $U \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A)$. Since $S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A) \subseteq B$, we have $S_{\tau_j} - \text{int}(S_{\tau_j} - \text{int}(A)) \subseteq S_{\tau_j} - \text{int}(B)$. Therefore, $S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(A) \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(B)$. Consequently, $U \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(B)$. Hence, B is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -open \square

Theorem 3.38 A subset A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -closed set then $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -open.

Proof. Let A be $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -closed set. Let $F \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ where F is S_{τ_i} - g -closed set. Since A is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -closed, we have $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ does not contain non empty S_{τ_i} - g -closed by Proposition 3.19, Consequently, $F = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\emptyset \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$, $\emptyset \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A)$, we obtain $F \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-int}(S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A)$. Hence, $S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -open. \square

Remark 3.39 From the Proposition 3.5, Remark 3.8, and Examples 3.6, 3.9, We get the following implication.

$$S_{\tau_j}\text{-closed} \rightarrow S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*\text{-closed} \rightarrow S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g\text{-closed}.$$

The converse of the above implication is not true.

4 $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -spaces in supra bitopological spaces

In this section we introduce, $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -spaces in supra bitopological spaces with the help of $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -closed set.

Definition 4.1 A supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is said to be $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space if every $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -closed set is S_{τ_j} -closed.

Proposition 4.2 If $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}$ -space, then it is an $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space.

Remark 4.3 The converse of the above Proposition 4.2, is not true. The following example supports our claim.

Example 4.4

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

Then $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space but not a $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}$ -space.

Theorem 4.5 A supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space if and only if $\{x\}$ is S_{τ_j} -open or S_{τ_i} - g -closed for each $x \in X$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not S_{τ_i} - g -closed. Then $\{x\}^c$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -closed by Proposition 3.27. Since $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space, $\{x\}^c$ is S_{τ_j} -closed. Therefore $\{x\}$ is S_{τ_j} -open.

Conversely, let A be an $S_{\tau_{ij}}$ - g^* -closed set. By assumption, $\{x\}$ is S_{τ_j} -open or S_{τ_i} - g -closed. for any $x \in S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A)$.

Case (i): Suppose $\{x\}$ is S_{τ_j} -open. Since $\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$, We have $x \in A$.

Case (ii): Suppose $\{x\}$ is S_{τ_j} - g -closed. If $x \notin A$, then $\{x\} \subseteq S_{\tau_j}\text{-cl}(A) - A$, Which is a contradiction to Proposition 3.27. Therefore $x \in A$.

Thus in both cases, We conclude that A is S_{τ_j} -closed. Hence $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space. \square

Remark 4.6 (X, S_{τ_1}) -space is not generally $S_{\tau}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space even if $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space as shown in the following example.

Example 4.7

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

Then (X, S_{τ_1}) is not $S_{\tau}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space but $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space.

Definition 4.8 A supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is said to be pairwise $S_{\tau}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space if it is both $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{21}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space.

Proposition 4.9 If $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is pairwise $S_{\tau}\text{-}T_{1/2}$ -space then it is pairwise $S_{\tau}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space but not conversely.

Example 4.10

In Example 4.4. Then $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is also $S_{\tau_{21}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ and therefore it is pairwise $S_{\tau}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space. But $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is not a pairwise $S_{\tau}\text{-}T_{1/2}$ -space, since it is not a $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}$ -space.

Definition 4.11 A supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is said to be $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space if every $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g$ -closed set is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}g^*$ -closed.

Proposition 4.12 If $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}$ -space, then it is a $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space.

Remark 4.13 The converse of the above Proposition 4.12, is not true. The following example supports our claim.

Example 4.14

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Then $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space but not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}$ -space.

Remark 4.15 If $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{ij}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -spaces are does not each other as seen from the following examples.

Example 4.16

In example 4.4, $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space but not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space.

Example 4.17

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$S_{\tau_1} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$S_{\tau_2} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Then $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space but it is not $S_{\tau_{12}}\text{-}T_{1/2}^*$ -space.

Theorem 4.18 A supra bitopological space $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{12}}-T_{1/2}$ -space if and only if it is both $S_{\tau_{12}}-T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{12}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space.

Proof. Suppose that $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}$ -space. Then by Proposition 4.9 and Proposition 4.12, $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space.

Conversely, suppose that $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is both $S_{\tau_{12}}-T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{12}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space. Let A be a $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g$ -closed set of $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$. since $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is a $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^*$ -space, A is a $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed set. Since $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is an $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -space, A is S_{τ_j} -closed set of $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$. Therefore, $(X, S_{\tau_1}, S_{\tau_2})$ is an $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}$ -space. \square

Remark 4.19 From the above results we illustrate the following relations

$$S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^*-space \leftarrow S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}-space \rightarrow S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^{**}-space.$$

The reverse of the implication is not true.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we analysed $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed sets and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -open sets. Also, investigate related properties and compared their properties of $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g$ -closed sets and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-g^*$ -closed sets. Furthermore, we provided some examples wherever necessary. Finally the new separation axioms $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^*$ and $S_{\tau_{ij}}-T_{1/2}^{**}$ -spaces are introduced and analysed their properties.

References

- [1] H. M. Abu-Donia, *New Types of Generalized closed sets in Bi-Topological spaces*, Journal of Egyptian Math.soc., **21** (2013), 318-323.
- [2] R. Gowri and A. K. R Rajayal, *On supra bitopological spaces*, IOSR-JM **13**(2017), 55-58.
- [3] R. Gowri and A. K. R Rajayal, *Supra g-closed sets in Supra Bitopological Spaces*, International Mathematical Forum, **13**(2018), 175-182.
- [4] R. Gowri and S. Vembu, *Soft g*-closed Sets in Soft Biminimal Spaces*, Int.Jr. of Mathematics And its Applications, **5**(2017), 365-370.
- [5] M. Kamaraj, G. Ramkumar and O. Ravi, *Supra sg-closed sets and supra gs-closed sets*, International Jr. of Mathematical Archive, **2**(11)(2011), 2413-2419.
- [6] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, *Supra Generalized Closed Soft Sets with Respect to an soft Ideal in supra soft Topological spaces*, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., **8**(4)(2014), 1731-1740.
- [7] J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc.No.(3), **13**(1963), 71-89.
- [8] S. Lal, *Pairwise concepts in bitopological spaces*, Aust.Jr. Math. soc (ser.A), **26**(2015), 241-250.
- [9] H. Maki, K. C. Rao and A. Nagoor Gani, *On generalized semi-open and pre-open sets*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., **49**(1999), 17-29.
- [10] A. S. Mashhour, A.A. Allam, F.S. Mahmoud and F.H. Khedr, *On Supra topological spaces*, Indian Jr.Pure and Appl.Math.No. **4**(14)(1983), 502-510.
- [11] C. W. Patty, *Bitopological spaces*, Pure math. Jr. **34**(1967), 387-392.

-
- [12] G. Ramkumar, O. Ravi and M. Joseph Isreal, *On supra g^* -closed sets*, Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal, 5(2016), 72-76.
- [13] O. Ravi, G. Ramkumar, and M. Kamaraj, *On supra g -closed sets*, International Journal of Advances in pure and Applied Mathematics, **1(2)**(2011), 52-66.
- [14] M. Sheik John and P. Sundaram, *g^* -closed sets in bitopological spaces*, Indian Jr. Pure appl. Math **35(1)**(2004), 77-80.